

KALGOORLIE CONSOLIDATED GOLD MINES



GIDJI GOLD PROCESSING PLANT COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

Ministerial Statement No. 1032

1 January to 31 December 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	CORPORATE ENDORSEMENT	3
2	INTRODUCTION	4
3	PURPOSE	6
4	CURRENT STATUS	7
4.1	Project Milestones	7
4.2	Production Throughput	7
4.3	Operational Areas	8
5	COMPLIANCE	10
6	REVIEW OF THE CAP	10

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Location of the Gidji Project	5
Figure 2: Disturbance Boundary	9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Project Milestones	7
-----------------------------	---

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Statement of Compliance
Appendix B: Completed Audit Table



1 CORPORATE ENDORSEMENT

On behalf of Kalgoorlie Consolidated Gold Mines Pty Ltd, I, Ian Butler (Registered Manager) do hereby confirm that to the best of my knowledge, the information provided within this Compliance Assessment Report are true and correct and address the legal requirements of Ministerial Statement No. 1032.

Signature : *Ian Butler* (Registered Manager)

Date : *23/2/17*

2 INTRODUCTION

Kalgoorlie Consolidated Gold Mines Pty Ltd (KCGM) operates the Gidji Gold Processing Plant (the Gidji Project) which is located approximately 17 km north of the City of Kalgoorlie-Boulder. The plant treats refractory sulphide ore concentrate which is transported by road from KCGM's Fimiston Processing Plant.

In 2015 the two Satellite Roasters which previously operated at the plant to process the concentrate were decommissioned, following installation of a second UFG mill. As a result of these changes KCGM made an application in April 2015 to rationalise and contemporise conditions of Gidji Ministerial Statements No. 28 and 77. During May 2016 the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) released its report and recommendations on the proposed amendments to the statements (EPA Report No:1566) and in June 2016 published Ministerial Statement No. 1032 (1032:M), approving the changes under Part IV of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

The Gidji Project infrastructure includes:

- A carbon-in-pulp (CIP) circuit;
- Two Ultra Fine Grinding (UFG) mills (Isa Mill M3000 and Isa Mill M10000) with a combined production throughput of 438,000 tonnes per annum (tpa);
- Tailings storage facilities (TSFs); and
- Associated infrastructure.

The Gidji Project is expected to continue operating until 2029. Its location is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Location of the Gidji Project

3 PURPOSE

In accordance with condition 3-6 of 1032:M, a Compliance Assessment Report (CAR) must be submitted to the CEO of the OEPA by February 28 of each year, or as otherwise agreed, addressing the period of the preceding calendar year. The CAR shall address compliance with each condition of 1032:M, identify all potential non-compliances and describe corrective and preventative actions taken; and indicate any proposed changes to the Compliance Assessment Plan (CAP).

The OEPA advised via a letter dated 04 October 2016 that the CAP satisfies the requirement of Condition 3-1 and 3-2 of 1032:M.

This is the first CAR prepared for the Gidji Project, and covers the period from 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2016 (the reporting period).

4 CURRENT STATUS

4.1 Project Milestones

A summary of milestones/achievements related to the Gidji Project is provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Project Milestones

DATE	MILESTONE
21 April 2015	KCGM submitted an application to rationalise and contemporise conditions of Ministerial Statements 28 and 77 following the planned closure of the Gidji roasters.
16 May 2016	EPA releases its report and recommendations on the proposed amendments to Ministerial Statements 28 and 77 (EPA R&R No: 1566).
30 June 2016	Ministerial Statement No. 1032 published, superseding Ministerial Statement No. 28 and 77.
15 September 2016	Compliance Assessment Plan for 1032:M submitted to OEPA.
4 October 2016	OEPA approves the CAP advising in writing that it satisfies the requirement of Condition 3-1 and 3-2 of Ministerial Statement No. 1032.
5 October 2016	Gidji licence L5946/1988/13 amended to increase the production throughput capacity to 438,000 tpa.

4.2 Production Throughput

The Gidji Gold Processing Plant treats the sulphide concentrate produced by the Fimiston Processing Plant. This concentrate is processed through two ultra-fine-grinding (UFG) Mills with the resulting finely ground product leached in aqueous cyanide prior to gold recovery via activated carbon in the carbon-in-pulp (CIP) circuit. Final gold recovery, elution of the loaded carbon, is conducted at the Fimiston Processing Plant with final tailings pumped to the Fimiston and Kaltails TSFs.

The Gidji Project has an approved production throughput of 438,000 tonnes per annum. The total quantity of concentrate treated at Gidji during the reporting period was 386,001 tonnes.

4.3 Operational Areas

The location and extent of the Concentrate Processing area and Tailings Storage Facility area are defined in Schedules 1 and 2 of 1032:M. The approved disturbance footprint area is 73 ha as shown in Figure 2. Within the Tailings Storage Facility area clearing of no more than 63 ha within the disturbance boundary is authorised, and in the Concentrate Processing Area clearing of no more than 10 ha within the disturbance boundary is authorised.

Within the reporting period KCGM did not clear any areas outside of the 73 ha disturbance boundary. The total cleared area within the Tailings Storage Facility area is 61.6 ha, and the total cleared area within the Concentrate Processing area is 6.4 ha. This disturbance data was reported in the 2016 Mining Rehabilitation Fund report submitted to the Department of Mines and Petroleum in June 2016.



Figure 2: Disturbance Boundary

5 COMPLIANCE

There were no non-compliances identified during the reporting period. A Statement of Compliance is provided as Appendix A.

Compliance against each condition of 1032:M is addressed in the Completed Audit Table provided as Appendix B.

6 REVIEW OF THE CAP

KCGM submitted a CAP to the OEPA on 15 September 2016 for the Gidji Project which was prepared in accordance with the 'Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing a Compliance Assessment Plan', Post Assessment Guideline No. 2, (OEPA, August 2012). On the 4 October 2016 KCGM received a letter from the OEPA advising that the CAP satisfies conditions 3-1 and 3-2 of 1032:M.

There are no changes to the CAP proposed for 2017.

APPENDIX A

Statement of Compliance
(Post Assessment Form 2)

Statement of Compliance

1 Proposal and Proponent Details

Proposal Title	Gidji Gold Processing Plant, Near Kalgoorlie
Statement Number	1032
Proponent Name	Kalgoorlie Consolidated Gold Mines Pty Ltd
Proponent's Australian Company Number	009 377 619

2 Statement of Compliance Details

Reporting Period	1 January 2016 to 31 December 2016
------------------	------------------------------------

Implementation phase(s) during reporting period (please tick ✓ relevant phase(s))							
Pre-construction	☐	Construction	☐	Operation	☒	Decommissioning	☐

Audit Table for Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance is provided at Attachment:	B
An audit table for the Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance must be provided as Attachment 2 to this Statement of Compliance. The audit table must be prepared and maintained in accordance with the Office of the Environmental Protection Authority's (OEPA) <i>Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing an Audit Table</i>, as amended from time to time. The 'Status Column' of the audit table must accurately describe the compliance status of each implementation condition and/or procedure for the reporting period of this Statement of Compliance. The terms that may be used by the proponent in the 'Status Column' of the audit table are limited to the Compliance Status Terms listed and defined in Table 1 of Attachment 1.	

Were all implementation conditions and/or procedures of the Statement complied with within the reporting period? (please tick ✓ the appropriate box)			
No (please proceed to Section 3)	☐	Yes (please proceed to Section 4)	☒

3 Details of Non-compliance(s) and/or Potential Non-compliance(s)

The information required Section 3 must be provided for each non-compliance or potential non-compliance identified during the reporting period covered by this Statement of Compliance.

Non-compliance/potential non-compliance 3-1

Which implementation condition or procedure was non-compliant or potentially non-compliant?	
Was the implementation condition or procedure non-compliant or potentially non-compliant?	
On what date(s) did the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occur (if applicable)?	
Was this non-compliance or potential non-compliance reported to the General Manager, OEPA?	
☐ Yes ☐ Reported to OEPA verbally Date _____ ☐ Reported to OEPA in writing Date _____	☐ No
What are the details of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance and where relevant, the extent of and impacts associated with the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?	
What is the precise location where the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occurred (if applicable)? (please provide this information as a map or GIS co-ordinates)	
What was the cause(s) of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?	
What remedial and/or corrective action(s), if any, were taken or are proposed to be taken in response to the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?	
What measures, if any, were in place to prevent the non-compliance or potential non-compliance before it occurred? What, if any, amendments have been made to those measures to prevent re-occurrence?	
Please provide information/documentation collected and recorded in relation to this implementation condition or procedure: • in the reporting period addressed in this Statement of Compliance; and • as outlined in the approved Compliance Assessment Plan for the Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance. (the above information may be provided as an attachment to this Statement of Compliance)	

For additional non-compliance or potential non-compliance, please duplicate this page as required

4 Proponent Declaration

I, ..., (full name and position title) declare that I am authorised on behalf of (being the person responsible for the proposal) to submit this form and that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.

Signature:..... Date:.....

Please note that:

- it is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give or cause to be given information that to his knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular; and
- the General Manager of the OEPA has powers under section 47(2) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* to require reports and information about implementation of the proposal to which the statement relates and compliance with the implementation conditions.

5 Submission of Statement of Compliance

One hard copy and one electronic copy (preferably PDF on CD or thumb drive) of the Statement of Compliance are required to be submitted to the General Manager, OEPA, marked to the attention of Manager, Compliance Branch.

Please note, the OEPA has adopted a procedure of providing written acknowledgment of receipt of all Statements of Compliance submitted by the proponent, however, the OEPA does not approve Statements of Compliance.

6 Contact Information

Queries regarding Statements of Compliance, or other issues of compliance relevant to a Statement may be directed to Compliance Branch, OEPA:

Manager, Compliance Branch
Office of the Environmental Protection Authority

Postal Address: Locked Bag 10
EAST PERTH WA 6892

Phone: (08) 6145 0800

Email: compliance@epa.wa.gov.au

7 Post Assessment Guidelines and Forms

Post assessment documents can be found at www.epa.wa.gov.au in the following locations:

- Post Assessment Guidelines: Home>Policies and Guidelines>Post Assessment Guidelines;
- Post Assessment Forms: Home>Post Assessment Forms.

ATTACHMENT 1 - Compliance Status Terms

Compliance Status Terms	Abbrev	Definition	Notes
Compliant	C	Implementation of the proposal has been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the audit element.	This term applies to audit elements with: - ongoing requirements that have been met during the reporting period; and - requirements with a finite period of application that have been met during the reporting period, but whose status has not yet been classified as 'completed'.
Completed	CLD	A requirement with a finite period of application has been satisfactorily completed.	This term may only be used where: - audit elements have a finite period of application (e.g. construction activities, development of a document); - the action has been satisfactorily completed; and - the Office of the Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA) has provided written acceptance of 'completed' status for the audit element.
Not required at this stage	NR	The requirements of the audit element were not triggered during the reporting period.	This should be consistent with the 'Phase' column of the audit table.
Potentially Non-compliant	PNC	Possible or likely failure to meet the requirements of the audit element.	This term may apply where during the reporting period the proponent has identified a potential non-compliance and has not yet finalized its investigations to determine whether non-compliance has occurred.
Non-compliant	NC	Implementation of the proposal has not been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the audit element.	This term applies where the requirements of the audit element are not "complete" have not been met during the reporting period.
In Process	IP	Where an audit element requires a management or monitoring plan be submitted to the OEPA or another government agency for approval, that submission has been made and no further information or changes have been requested by the OEPA or the other government agency and assessment by the OEPA or other government agency for approval is still pending.	The term 'In Process' may not be used for any purpose other than that stated in the Definition Column. The term 'In Process' may not be used to describe the compliance status of an implementation condition and/or procedure that requires implementation throughout the life of the project (e.g. implementation of a management plan).

APPENDIX B

Completed Audit Table



KCGM Audit Table

Ministerial Statement No. 1032

Period: 1 January to 31 December 2016

Audit Code	Subject	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Advice	Phase	When	Compliance Status
1032:M1-1	Proposal Implementation	The proponent shall not exceed the authorised extent of the proposal as defined in Table 1 and Table 2 in Schedule 1 and Table 4 in Schedule 2, unless amendments to the proposal and the authorised extent of the proposal have been approved under the EP Act.	Implement proposal as described in Schedules 1 and 2.	See Section 4.3 Operational Areas in the Annual Compliance Assessment Report.	Minister for Environment		Overall	Ongoing	C
1032:M2-1	Contact Details	The proponent shall notify the CEO of any change of its name, physical address or postal address for the serving of notices or other correspondence within twenty eight (28) days of such change. Where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State.	Provide written correspondence indicating the change in name and/or address of the proponent.	There was no change of name, physical address or postal address in this reporting period.	CEO ¹		Overall	Within 28 days of such change	C
1032: M3-1	Compliance Reporting	The proponent shall maintain a Compliance Assessment Plan to the satisfaction of the CEO.	Preparation of a Compliance Assessment Plan in accordance with PAG2 (OEPA, 2012).	Letter from the OEPA dated 4 October 2016 stating satisfaction with the CAP.	CEO		Overall	Ongoing	C
1032: M3-2	Compliance Reporting	The Compliance Assessment Plan shall include: 1) the frequency of compliance reporting; 2) the approach and timing of compliance assessments; 3) the retention of compliance assessments; 4) the method of reporting of potential non-compliances and corrective actions taken; and 5) the table of contents of Compliance Assessment Reports.	Preparation of a Compliance Assessment Plan that includes these components, in accordance with PAG2 (OEPA, 2012).	Letter from the OEPA dated 4 October 2016 stating satisfaction with the CAP	CEO		Overall	Ongoing	C
1032: M3-3	Compliance Reporting	After receiving notice in writing from the CEO that the Compliance Assessment Plan satisfies the requirements of Condition 3-2 the proponent shall assess compliance with conditions in accordance with the Compliance Assessment Plan required by Condition 3-1.	Audit the Project to assess compliance with Conditions of MS1032.	Annual Compliance Assessment Report.	Minister for the Environment		Overall	Annually each calendar year	C
1032: M3-4	Compliance Reporting	The proponent shall retain reports of all compliance assessments described in the Compliance Assessment Plan required by Condition 3-1 and shall make those reports available when requested by the CEO.	Creation and maintenance of hardcopy and electronic archive of all compliance reporting.	Copies of the CAP and CAR retained by KCGM for the duration of the project.	CEO		Overall	Ongoing	C

¹ CEO is the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of the Public Service of the State responsible for the administration of section 48 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*, or his delegate

Audit Code	Subject	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Advice	Phase	When	Compliance Status
1032: M3-5	Compliance Reporting	The proponent shall advise the CEO of any potential non-compliance within seven (7) days of that non-compliance being known.	Notification shall be done via email. Email correspondence sent to compliance@epa.wa.gov.au.	No non-compliances occurred in this reporting period.	CEO		Overall	Within 7 days of non-compliance being known	C
1032: M3-6	Compliance Reporting	The proponent shall submit to the CEO the first Compliance Assessment Report by 28 February of each year, or as otherwise agreed in writing by the CEO, addressing the period of the preceding calendar year. The Compliance Assessment Report shall: 1) be endorsed by the proponent's CEO or a person delegated to sign on the CEO's behalf; 2) address the proponent's compliance with each condition of this Statement; 3) identify all potential non-compliances and describe corrective and preventative actions taken; and 4) indicate any proposed changes to the Compliance Assessment Plan required by Condition 3-1.	Prepare and submit the Compliance Assessment Report in accordance with the approved Compliance Assessment Plan before due date.	This report was submitted prior to February 28 2017. It is endorsed by Ian Butler, KCGM General Manager (person delegated to sign on the CEO's behalf).	CEO		Overall	28 February each year	C
1032: M4-1	Public Availability of Plans and Reports	Subject to Condition 4-2, within a reasonable time period approved by the CEO of the issue of this Statement and for the remainder of the life of the proposal the proponent shall make publicly available, in a manner approved by the CEO, all environmental plans and reports required under this Statement.	Annual Compliance Assessment Reports to be published on KCGM's website.	This CAR will be published on the KCGM website within 1 month of being submitted to the OEPA.	CEO		Overall	Ongoing	C
1032: M4-2	Public Availability of Plans and Reports	If any parts of plans or reports referred to in Condition 4-1 contains particulars of: 1) a secret formula or process; or 2) confidential commercially sensitive information; the proponent may submit a request for approval from the CEO not to make those parts of the plans or reports publicly available. In making such a request the proponent shall provide the CEO with an explanation and reasons why those parts of the plans or reports should not be made publicly available.	KCGM will decide prior to submitting each Compliance Assessment Report what it believes should not be made publicly available (for reasons stated in M4-2) and will make a request to the CEO to withhold this information from public release.	KCGM has not submitted a request for this reporting period.	CEO		Overall	Ongoing	C
1032: M5-1	Rehabilitation and Closure	The proponent shall ensure that the Gidji Gold Processing Plant is decommissioned and rehabilitated in an ecologically sustainable manner through the implementation of the Mine Closure Plan required by Condition 5-2.	On completion of decommissioning and rehabilitation, KCGM will audit the Project against the Completion Criteria as outlined in the Mine Closure Plan, or as otherwise agreed with DMP and EPA.	Decommissioning and rehabilitation were not completed in this reporting period.	Minister for the Environment	DMP	Project closure	Decommissioning and rehabilitation	NR
1032: M5-2	Rehabilitation and Closure	The proponent shall implement the <i>Kalgoorlie Consolidated Gold Mines – Mine Closure Plan</i> (dated March 2015).	Implement the requirements of the Mine Closure Plan.	During the reporting period KCGM commenced decommissioning the two Satellite Roasters.	Minister for the Environment	DMP	Overall	Ongoing	C

Audit Code	Subject	Action	How	Evidence	Satisfy	Advice	Phase	When	Compliance Status
1032: M5-3	Rehabilitation and Closure	The proponent shall review and revise the Mine Closure Plan required by Condition 5-2, on the advice of the Department of Mines and Petroleum and to the satisfaction of the CEO, in accordance with the Guidelines for Preparing Mine Closure Plans (Department of Mines and Petroleum / Environmental Protection Authority, May 2015) and any updates, at intervals not exceeding three years, or as otherwise specified by the CEO.	Review and revise the Mine Closure Plan every three years, or otherwise as specified by the CEO.	The revised KCGM Mine Closure Plan will be updated and submitted by March 2018.	CEO	DMP	Overall	At intervals not exceeding three years or otherwise as specified by the CEO. The first review is due March 2018.	C
1032: M5-4	Rehabilitation and Closure	The proponent shall implement the latest revision of the Mine Closure Plan, which the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing satisfies the requirements of Condition 5-3.	Implement the requirements of the Mine Closure Plan.	The current Mine Closure Plan was accepted by the OEPA in a letter dated 19 November 2015.	CEO	DMP	Overall	Ongoing	C